1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)		
2	United States Attorney		
3	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	BENJAMIN KINGSLEY (NYBN 4758389) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6937		
5			
6			
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 Benjamin.Kingsley@usdoj.gov		
8	Attorneys for the United States		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
0	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
1	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO: CR 15-518 RS	
13	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND	
14	v.	) [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER )	
15	ROBERT JACOBSEN,		
16	Defendant.	)	
17		_)	
8	The parties request that the time between May 3, 2016, and July 26, 2016, be excluded under the		
9	Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B). The government has produced		
20	discovery to defendant. Defense counsel is investigating a possible conflict. Excluding time until July		
21	26, 2016 will allow for the effective preparation and continuity of counsel, and the ends of justice served		
22	by this delay outweigh the defendant's and the public's interest in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C.		
23	§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).		
24	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
25	DATED: May 10, 2016	/S/	
26		BENJAMIN KINGSLEY Assistant United States Attorney	
27	D. J. T. D. A. 10. 2016		
28	DATED: May 10, 2016	STEVE KALAR	
		Counsel for Defendant Robert Jacobsen	
	STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

1 2

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court finds that the exclusion of the period from March 3, 2016, and July 26, 2016 from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and of counsel, taking into account the particular complexity of the case and the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/10/16

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE